20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

Robert B. Ryder, Esq.
Nevada Bar # 6806
Jennifer L. Sanders, Esq.
Nevada Bar # 10980
WOLFE & WYMAN LLP
980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Suite 140
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Tel: (702) 476-0100
Fax: (702) 476-0101
rbryder@wolfewyman.com
jlsanders@wolfewyman.com
- · ·

# **Attorneys for Defendant, RALPHS GROCERY** COMPANY d/b/a FOOD 4 LESS

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ANGELICA HERNANDEZ-SANCHEZ,	Case No. 2:12-cv-01742-JCM-GW

Plaintiff.

v.

RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY, a Foreign Corporation d/b/a FOOD 4 LESS; DOES 1-100 and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1-100. inclusive,

Defendant.

/F

**ORDER GRANTING** MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FOR DISCOVERY **SANCTIONS** 

Defendant, Ralphs Grocery Company dba Food 4 Less ("Food 4 Less"), by and through its attorneys, Wolfe & Wyman LLP, filed a Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute and for Discovery Sanctions (Docket No. 33) on April 30, 2014. Plaintiff's response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was due by May 17, 2014.

The Court having considering the moving papers, its own files, and good cause appearing, rules as follows:

1. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(c), any Response and/or Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was required to be filed with the Court and served within fourteen (14) days after service of the Motion. No Response and/or Opposition has been submitted to the Court or

Σ SELOR:	13
× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	14
т Е√з & В <b>⊗</b>	15
VOL	16
> <sub>4</sub>	17
<b>8</b>	18
	19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

**12** 

served on opposing party within the prescribed timeline. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(i), the failure
of an opposing party to file Points and Authority in response to any motion shall constitute
consent to the granting of the motion.

- 2. The Court may grant the Motion to Dismiss without a hearing where a Local Rule provides another party who has failed to timely file an Opposition is deemed to have waived any objection to the Motion. Eaton v. Reno, 216 F.3d 1082, 1082 (9th Cir. 2000).
- 3. The Court may grant the Motion to Dismiss for failure to follow local rules if these five factors weigh in favor of dismissal: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants, (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).
- 4. The Court finds that the five **Ghazali** factors weigh in favor of dismissal. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED June 25, 2014.

> allus C. Mahan UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Respectfully submitted,

**WOLFE & WYMAN LLP** 

By:/s/ Jennifer L. Sanders

Robert B. Ryder, Esq.

Nevada Bar # 6806

Jennifer L. Sanders, Esq.

Nevada Bar # 10980

980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Suite 140

Las Vegas, NV 89119

Attorneys for Defendant, RALPHS GROCERY

COMPANY dba Food 4 Less

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On June 17, 2014, I served the foregoing [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING

MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FOR DISCOVERY

**SANCTIONS** by the following means to the persons as listed below:

X a. EFC System (you must attach the "Notice of Electronic Filing", or list all persons and addresses and attach additional paper if necessary):

David Melvin Crosby info@crosby.lvcoxmail.com

X b. United States Mail, postage fully pre-paid (List persons and addresses.

Attach additional paper if necessary):

## **Angelica Hernandez-Sanchez**

1509 Fay Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89108 (*Plaintiff*) PRO SE

By: /s/ Katia Ioffe

Katia Ioffe

An employee of Wolfe & Wyman LLP